

The multicomponent 2D Toda hierarchy: string equations and dispersionless limits

MM & Luis Martínez Alonso

manuel.manas@fis.ucm.es & luism@fis.ucm.es

ENIGMA 2008

SISSA, Trieste, Italy, October 2008

Integrable Systems, Geometry, Matrix Models and Applications

arXiv:0809.2720v1 [nlin.SI] 16 Sep 2008

arXiv:0810.2427v2 [math-ph] 15 Oct 2008

Outline

Motivation, methods and results

Outline

Motivation, methods and results

Factorization problem

Outline

Motivation, methods and results

Factorization problem

Lax equations

Outline

Motivation, methods and results

Factorization problem

Lax equations

Orlov operators. String equations

Outline

Motivation, methods and results

Factorization problem

Lax equations

Orlov operators. String equations

Dispersionless limits

Motivation Applications of multicomponent integrable systems:

Motivation Applications of multicomponent integrable systems:

- ▶ Matrix models (multicut cases)

Motivation Applications of multicomponent integrable systems:

- ▶ Matrix models (multicut cases)
- ▶ Non-intersecting Brownian motions

Motivation Applications of multicomponent integrable systems:

- ▶ Matrix models (multicut cases)
- ▶ Non-intersecting Brownian motions
- ▶ Multiple orthogonal polynomials

Motivation Applications of multicomponent integrable systems:

- ▶ Matrix models (multicut cases)
- ▶ Non-intersecting Brownian motions
- ▶ Multiple orthogonal polynomials

Large N limit \leftrightarrow dispersionless limit

Motivation Applications of multicomponent integrable systems:

- ▶ Matrix models (multicut cases)
- ▶ Non-intersecting Brownian motions
- ▶ Multiple orthogonal polynomials

Large N limit \leftrightarrow dispersionless limit

Methods Factorization problem in an infinite dimensional Lie group. Dressing and undressing

Results

- ▶ New set of discrete flows

Results

- ▶ New set of discrete flows
- ▶ Two types of dispersionless limits: genus 0 universal Whitham hierarchy and the another represents a multicomponent extension of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy

Results

- ▶ New set of discrete flows
- ▶ Two types of dispersionless limits: genus 0 universal Whitham hierarchy and the another represents a multicomponent extension of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy
- ▶ Additional symmetries as well as string equations and their dispersionless limits

Results

- ▶ New set of discrete flows
- ▶ Two types of dispersionless limits: genus 0 universal Whitham hierarchy and the another represents a multicomponent extension of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy
- ▶ Additional symmetries as well as string equations and their dispersionless limits

Extending results on multicomponent KP and dispersive Whitham hierarchies by Takasaki/Takebe (**MISGAM 2005**, SISSA, Trieste)

The Lie group

- ▶ Space of sequences $\{f(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $f(n) \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$. If $\mathbb{Z} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow$ we go from the infinite case to the semi-infinite case

The Lie group

- ▶ Space of sequences $\{f(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $f(n) \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$. If $\mathbb{Z} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow$ we go from the infinite case to the semi-infinite case
- ▶ The shift operator Λ

$$(\Lambda f)(n) := f(n+1)$$

If $\mathbb{Z} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{N}$ then Λ is not invertible but we denote $\Lambda^t = \Lambda^{-1}$

The Lie group

- ▶ Space of sequences $\{f(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $f(n) \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$. If $\mathbb{Z} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow$ we go from the infinite case to the semi-infinite case
- ▶ The shift operator Λ

$$(\Lambda f)(n) := f(n+1)$$

If $\mathbb{Z} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{N}$ then Λ is not invertible but we denote $\Lambda^t = \Lambda^{-1}$

- ▶ Sequence $X_j : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M_N(\mathbb{C})$ acts by left multiplication

$$(X_j \Lambda^j)(f)(n) := X_j(n) \cdot f(n+j)$$

The Lie group

- ▶ Associative algebra \mathfrak{g} :

$$X = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} X_j(n) \Lambda^j$$

The Lie group

- ▶ Associative algebra \mathfrak{g} :

$$X = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} X_j(n) \Lambda^j$$

- ▶ Lie subalgebras:

$$\mathfrak{g}_+ = \left\{ \sum_{j \geq 0} X_j(n) \Lambda^j, \quad X_j(n) \in M_N(\mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_- = \left\{ \sum_{j < 0} X_j(n) \Lambda^j, \quad X_j(n) \in M_N(\mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

The Lie group

- ▶ Associative algebra \mathfrak{g} :

$$X = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} X_j(n) \Lambda^j$$

- ▶ Lie subalgebras:

$$\mathfrak{g}_+ = \left\{ \sum_{j \geq 0} X_j(n) \Lambda^j, \quad X_j(n) \in M_N(\mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_- = \left\{ \sum_{j < 0} X_j(n) \Lambda^j, \quad X_j(n) \in M_N(\mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

- ▶ Lie group G : invertible elements in \mathfrak{g} , Lie subgroups

$$G_{\pm} = G \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\pm}$$

Gauss factorization

- ▶ Gauss factorization problem

$$g = g_-^{-1} \cdot g_+, \quad g_{\pm} \in G_{\pm}$$

+ \approx block upper triangular,

- \approx strictly block lower triangular

Gauss factorization

- ▶ Gauss factorization problem

$$g = g_-^{-1} \cdot g_+, \quad g_{\pm} \in G_{\pm}$$

+ \approx block upper triangular,

- \approx strictly block lower triangular

- ▶ G_{\pm} have \mathfrak{g}_{\pm} as its Lie algebras

Gauss factorization

- ▶ Gauss factorization problem

$$g = g_-^{-1} \cdot g_+, \quad g_{\pm} \in G_{\pm}$$

+ \approx block upper triangular,

- \approx strictly block lower triangular

- ▶ G_+ : set of invertible linear operators $\sum_{j \geq 0} g_j(n) \mathcal{N}^j$

Gauss factorization

- ▶ Gauss factorization problem

$$g = g_-^{-1} \cdot g_+, \quad g_{\pm} \in G_{\pm}$$

+ \approx block upper triangular,

- \approx strictly block lower triangular

- ▶ G_+ : set of invertible linear operators $\sum_{j \geq 0} g_j(n) \Lambda^j$
- ▶ G_- : set of invertible linear operators $1 + \sum_{j < 0} g_j(n) \Lambda^j$

Vacuum dressing operators

$W_0, \bar{W}_0 \in G$, $s_a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t_{ja} \in \mathbb{C}$, **Zero charge:** $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}} s_a = 0$

$$W_0 := \sum_{k=1}^N E_{kk} \Lambda^{s_k} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{jk} \Lambda^j\right), \quad \bar{W}_0 := \sum_{k=1}^N E_{kk} \Lambda^{-s_k} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{j\bar{k}} \Lambda^{-j}\right),$$

Three components

$$W_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda^{s_1} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{j1} \Lambda^j} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda^{s_2} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{j2} \Lambda^j} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Lambda^{s_3} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{j3} \Lambda^j} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\bar{W}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda^{-s_1} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{j\bar{1}} \Lambda^{-j}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda^{-s_2} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{j\bar{2}} \Lambda^{-j}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Lambda^{-s_3} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{j\bar{3}} \Lambda^{-j}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Factorization problem

If g admits Gauss factorization, what about $W_0 \cdot g \cdot \bar{W}_0^{-1}$?

$$W_0 \cdot g \cdot \bar{W}_0^{-1} = S^{-1} \cdot \bar{S}, \quad \begin{aligned} S &= \mathbb{1}_N + \beta \Lambda^{-1} + \varphi_2 \Lambda^{-2} + \dots \in G_-, \\ \bar{S} &= e^\phi + \bar{\varphi}_1 \Lambda + \bar{\varphi}_2 \Lambda^2 + \dots \in G_+ \end{aligned}$$

Dressing and Lax operators

After Ueno and Takasaki (1984)

Sato's dressing operators

$$W := S \cdot W_0, \quad \bar{W} := \bar{S} \cdot \bar{W}_0$$

Dressing and Lax operators

After Ueno and Takasaki (1984)

Sato's dressing operators

$$W := S \cdot W_0, \quad \bar{W} := \bar{S} \cdot \bar{W}_0$$

Factorization problem

$$W \cdot g = \bar{W}$$

Dressing and Lax operators

After Ueno and Takasaki (1984)

Sato's dressing operators

$$W := S \cdot W_0, \quad \bar{W} := \bar{S} \cdot \bar{W}_0$$

Factorization problem

$$W \cdot g = \bar{W}$$

Lax operators:

$$L := W \cdot \Lambda \cdot W^{-1}, \quad \bar{L} := \bar{W} \cdot \Lambda \cdot \bar{W}^{-1},$$

Notice the definition of \bar{L}

Dressing and Lax operators

After Ueno and Takasaki (1984)

Sato's dressing operators

$$W := S \cdot W_0, \quad \bar{W} := \bar{S} \cdot \bar{W}_0$$

Factorization problem

$$W \cdot g = \bar{W}$$

Lax operators:

$$L := W \cdot \Lambda \cdot W^{-1}, \quad \bar{L} := \bar{W} \cdot \Lambda \cdot \bar{W}^{-1},$$

Notice the definition of \bar{L}

New objects in the multicomponent context

$$C_{kl} := W \cdot E_{kl} \cdot W^{-1}, \quad \bar{C}_{kl} := \bar{W} \cdot E_{kl} \cdot \bar{W}^{-1}$$

Λ -expansions

The Lax operators have the standard expressions

$$L = \Lambda + u_1(n) + u_2(n)\Lambda^{-1} + \dots ,$$
$$\bar{L}^{-1} = \bar{u}_0(n)\Lambda^{-1} + \bar{u}_1(n) + \bar{u}_2(n)\Lambda + \dots ,$$

Λ -expansions

The Lax operators have the standard expressions

$$L = \Lambda + u_1(n) + u_2(n)\Lambda^{-1} + \dots, \\ \bar{L}^{-1} = \bar{u}_0(n)\Lambda^{-1} + \bar{u}_1(n) + \bar{u}_2(n)\Lambda + \dots,$$

Multicomponent elements

$$C_{kl} = L^{s_k - s_l} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (t_{jk} - t_{jl}) L^j} (E_{kl} + C_{kl,1}(n)\Lambda^{-1} + C_{kl,2}(n)\Lambda^{-2} + \dots), \\ \bar{C}_{kl} = \bar{L}^{-s_{\bar{k}} + s_{\bar{j}}} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (t_{j\bar{k}} - t_{j\bar{l}}) \bar{L}^{-j}} (\bar{C}_{kl,0}(n) + \bar{C}_{kl,1}(n)\Lambda + \bar{C}_{kl,2}(n)\Lambda^2 + \dots).$$

Algebraic identities

$$\mathbb{I}_N = \sum_{k=1}^N C_{kk}, \quad \bar{\mathbb{I}}_N = \sum_{k=1}^N \bar{C}_{kk},$$

$$C_{kl} C_{k'l'} = \delta_{lk'} C_{kl'}, \quad C_{kl} L = L C_{kl},$$

$$\bar{C}_{kl} \bar{C}_{k'l'} = \delta_{lk'} \bar{C}_{kl'}, \quad \bar{C}_{kl} \bar{L} = \bar{L} \bar{C}_{kl},$$

Definition

1.

$$\partial_{j_a} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{j_a}}, \quad \text{for } a = \mathcal{S} \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Definition

1.

$$\partial_{j_a} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{j_a}}, \quad \text{for } a = \mathcal{S} \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

2. The *zero-charge* shifts T_K for $K = (a, b)$ are defined as follows

$$s_a \rightarrow s_a + 1, \quad s_b \rightarrow s_b - 1.$$

Lax equations

Theorem

► *Linear systems*

$$\partial_{j_a} W = B_{j_a} \cdot W,$$

$$T_K W = \omega_K \cdot W,$$

$$\partial_{j_a} \bar{W} = B_{j_a} \cdot \bar{W},$$

$$T_K \bar{W} = \omega_K \cdot \bar{W},$$

Lax equations

Theorem

► *Linear systems*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{ja} W &= B_{ja} \cdot W, & \partial_{ja} \bar{W} &= B_{ja} \cdot \bar{W}, \\ T_K W &= \omega_K \cdot W, & T_K \bar{W} &= \omega_K \cdot \bar{W}, \end{aligned}$$

► *Lax equations*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{ja} L &= [B_{ja}, L], & \partial_{ja} \bar{L} &= [B_{ja}, \bar{L}], \\ \partial_{ja} C_{kk} &= [B_{ja}, C_{kk}], & \partial_{ja} \bar{C}_{kk} &= [B_{ja}, \bar{C}_{kk}], \\ T_K L &= \omega_K \cdot L \cdot \omega_K^{-1}, & T_K \bar{L} &= \omega_K \cdot \bar{L} \cdot \omega_K^{-1}, \\ T_K C_{kk} &= \omega_K \cdot C_{kk} \cdot \omega_K^{-1}, & T_K \bar{C}_{kk} &= \omega_K \cdot \bar{C}_{kk} \cdot \omega_K^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

Nonlinear partial differential-difference equations

$$\beta(n)E_{kk} - E_{kk}\beta(n+1) = \partial_{1k}(e^{\phi(n)})e^{-\phi(n)}$$

$$\partial_{1\bar{k}}\beta(n) = e^{\phi(n)}E_{kk}e^{-\phi(n-1)}$$

$$T_{(k,b)}\beta(n)E_{kk} - E_{kk}\beta(n+1) + \mathbb{1}_N - E_{kk} - \pi_b = e^{T_{(k,b)}\phi(n)}(\mathbb{1}_N - \bar{\pi}_b)e^{-\phi(n)}$$

$$T_{(\bar{k},b)}\beta(n)(\mathbb{1}_N - \pi_b) - (\mathbb{1}_N - \pi_b)\beta(n) + \pi_b = e^{T_{(\bar{k},b)}\phi(n)}E_{kk}e^{-\phi(n-1)}$$

Nonlinear partial differential-difference equations

$$\beta(n)E_{kk} - E_{kk}\beta(n+1) = \partial_{1k}(e^{\phi(n)})e^{-\phi(n)}$$

$$\partial_{1\bar{k}}\beta(n) = e^{\phi(n)}E_{kk}e^{-\phi(n-1)}$$

$$T_{(k,b)}\beta(n)E_{kk} - E_{kk}\beta(n+1) + \mathbb{1}_N - E_{kk} - \pi_b = e^{T_{(k,b)}\phi(n)}(\mathbb{1}_N - \bar{\pi}_b)e^{-\phi(n)}$$

$$T_{(\bar{k},b)}\beta(n)(\mathbb{1}_N - \pi_b) - (\mathbb{1}_N - \pi_b)\beta(n) + \pi_b = e^{T_{(\bar{k},b)}\phi(n)}E_{kk}e^{-\phi(n-1)}$$

Matrix extension of the 2D Toda equation

$$\partial_{1\bar{k}'}(\partial_{1k}(e^{\phi(n)}) \cdot e^{-\phi(n)}) = e^{\phi(n)}E_{k'k'}e^{-\phi(n-1)}E_{kk} - E_{kk}e^{\phi(n+1)}E_{k'k'}e^{-\phi(n)}$$

One component case

For $N = 1$:

One component case

For $N = 1$:

1. 2D Toda equation

$$\partial_1 \partial_{\bar{1}}(\phi(n)) = e^{\phi(n) - \phi(n-1)} - e^{\phi(n+1) - \phi(n)}$$

One component case

For $N = 1$:

1. 2D Toda equation

$$\partial_1 \partial_{\bar{1}}(\phi(n)) = e^{\phi(n) - \phi(n-1)} - e^{\phi(n+1) - \phi(n)}$$

2. Only the shift $T_{(s_1, \bar{s}_1)} \rightsquigarrow n \rightarrow n + 1$

One component case

For $N = 1$:

1. 2D Toda equation

$$\partial_1 \partial_{\bar{1}}(\phi(n)) = e^{\phi(n) - \phi(n-1)} - e^{\phi(n+1) - \phi(n)}$$

2. Only the shift $T_{(s_1, \bar{s}_1)} \rightsquigarrow n \rightarrow n + 1$
3. If one sets the bared times to zero one obtains discrete KP

Discrete and discrete-continuous Toda type equations

Examples:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{(\bar{k}', \bar{l})} (\partial_{1k} (e^{\phi(n)}) \cdot e^{-\phi(n)}) &= e^{T_{(\bar{k}', \bar{l})} \phi(n)} \cdot E_{k'k'} \cdot e^{-\phi(n-1)} E_{kk} \\ &\quad - E_{kk} e^{T_{(\bar{k}', \bar{l})} \phi(n+1)} \cdot E_{k'k'} \cdot e^{-\phi(n)} \end{aligned}$$

Discrete and discrete-continuous Toda type equations

Examples:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{(\bar{k}', \bar{l})}(\partial_{1k}(e^{\phi(n)}) \cdot e^{-\phi(n)}) &= e^{T_{(\bar{k}', \bar{l})}\phi(n)} \cdot E_{k'k'} \cdot e^{-\phi(n-1)} E_{kk} \\ &\quad - E_{kk} e^{T_{(\bar{k}', \bar{l})}\phi(n+1)} \cdot E_{k'k'} \cdot e^{-\phi(n)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{(\bar{k}', \bar{l})}(e^{T_{(k,b)}\phi(n)} \cdot (\mathbb{1}_N - \bar{\pi}_b) \cdot e^{-\phi(n)}) &= T_{(k,b)}(e^{T_{(\bar{k}', \bar{l})}\phi(n)} \cdot E_{k'k'} \cdot e^{-\phi(n-1)}) \\ &\quad - E_{kk} T_{(k,b)}(e^{T_{(\bar{k}', \bar{l})}\phi(n+1)} \cdot E_{k'k'} \cdot e^{-\phi(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

Introducing Orlov operators

Definition

The Orlov operators are defined as follows

$$M := WnW^{-1}, \quad \bar{M} := \bar{W}n\bar{W}^{-1}$$

The Orlov operators satisfy



$$[L, M] = L, \quad [L, C_{kk}] = 0, \quad [\bar{L}, \bar{M}] = \bar{L}, \quad [\bar{L}, \bar{C}_{kk}] = 0,$$

The Orlov operators satisfy



$$[L, M] = L, \quad [L, C_{kk}] = 0, \quad [\bar{L}, \bar{M}] = \bar{L}, \quad [\bar{L}, \bar{C}_{kk}] = 0,$$



$$M = \mathcal{M} + \sum_{k=1}^N C_{kk} (s_k + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j t_{jk} L^j), \quad \mathcal{M} = n + \mathfrak{g}_-$$

$$\bar{M} = \bar{\mathcal{M}} - \sum_{k=1}^N \bar{C}_{kk} (s_{\bar{k}} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j t_{j\bar{k}} \bar{L}^{-j}), \quad \bar{\mathcal{M}} = n + \mathfrak{g}_+ \Lambda$$

Additional symmetries

Rewrite the factorization problem as

$$W \cdot h = \bar{W} \cdot \bar{h}$$

with $g = h \cdot \bar{h}^{-1}$.

Additional symmetries

Rewrite the factorization problem as

$$W \cdot h = \bar{W} \cdot \bar{h}$$

with $g = h \cdot \bar{h}^{-1}$.

$$\partial_\delta h \cdot h^{-1} = \sum_{l,l'=1}^N F_{ll'}(n, \Lambda) E_{ll'}, \quad \partial_\delta \bar{h} \cdot \bar{h}^{-1} = \sum_{l,l'=1}^N \bar{F}_{ll'}(n, \Lambda) E_{ll'}$$

$$T_\delta h \cdot h^{-1} = \sum_{l,l'=1}^N \mathcal{F}_{ll'}(n, \Lambda) E_{ll'}, \quad T_\delta \bar{h} \cdot \bar{h}^{-1} = \sum_{l,l'=1}^N \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{ll'}(n, \Lambda) E_{ll'}$$

Here

$$F_{ll'}(n, \Lambda) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{ll',ij} n^i \Lambda^j, \quad F_{ll',ij} \in \mathbb{C}$$

Define

$$F := \sum_{l,l'=1}^N F_{ll'}(M, L) C_{ll'}, \quad \bar{F} := \sum_{l,l'=1}^N \bar{F}_{ll'}(\bar{M}, \bar{L}) \bar{C}_{ll'}$$

$$\mathcal{F} := \sum_{l,l'=1}^N \mathcal{F}_{ll'}(M, L) C_{ll'}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{F}} := \sum_{l,l'=1}^N \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{ll'}(\bar{M}, \bar{L}) \bar{C}_{ll'}$$

Define

$$F := \sum_{l,l'=1}^N F_{ll'}(M, L) C_{ll'}, \quad \bar{F} := \sum_{l,l'=1}^N \bar{F}_{ll'}(\bar{M}, \bar{L}) \bar{C}_{ll'}$$

$$\mathcal{F} := \sum_{l,l'=1}^N \mathcal{F}_{ll'}(M, L) C_{ll'}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{F}} := \sum_{l,l'=1}^N \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{ll'}(\bar{M}, \bar{L}) \bar{C}_{ll'}$$

$$H := F - \bar{F},$$

$$\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{F} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}$$

Theorem

1. *The Sato operators W and \bar{W} satisfy*

$$\partial_b W = -H_- \cdot W,$$

$$T_b W = \mathcal{H}_- \cdot W,$$

$$\partial_b \bar{W} = H_+ \cdot \bar{W},$$

$$T_b \bar{W} = \mathcal{H}_+ \cdot \bar{W}$$

Theorem

1. *The Sato operators W and \bar{W} satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_b W &= -H_- \cdot W, & \partial_b \bar{W} &= H_+ \cdot \bar{W}, \\ T_b W &= \mathcal{H}_- \cdot W, & T_b \bar{W} &= \mathcal{H}_+ \cdot \bar{W} \end{aligned}$$

2. *The Lax and Orlov operators verify*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_b L &= [-H_-, L], & \partial_b M &= [-H_-, M], & \partial_b C_{kk} &= -[H_-, C_{kk}] \\ \partial_b \bar{L} &= [H_+, \bar{L}], & \partial_b \bar{M} &= [H_+, \bar{M}], & \partial_b \bar{C}_{kk} &= [H_+, \bar{C}_{kk}] \\ T_b L &= \mathcal{H}_- L \mathcal{H}_-^{-1}, & T_b M &= \mathcal{H}_- M \mathcal{H}_-^{-1}, & T_b C_{kk} &= \mathcal{H}_- C_{kk} \mathcal{H}_-^{-1} \\ T_b \bar{L} &= \mathcal{H}_+ \bar{L} \mathcal{H}_+^{-1}, & T_b \bar{M} &= \mathcal{H}_+ \bar{M} \mathcal{H}_+^{-1}, & T_b \bar{C}_{kk} &= \mathcal{H}_+ \bar{C}_{kk} \mathcal{H}_+^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

String equations. Block Toeplitz/Hankel operators

Suppose that the initial condition g satisfies

$$\boxed{g\bar{F}_0 = F_0g}, \quad F_0 := \sum_{l,l'=1}^N F_{ll'}(n, \Lambda) E_{ll'}, \quad \bar{F}_0 = \sum_{l,l'=1}^N \bar{F}_{ll'}(n, \Lambda) E_{ll'},$$

String equations. Block Toeplitz/Hankel operators

Suppose that the initial condition g satisfies

$$\boxed{g\bar{F}_0 = F_0g}, \quad F_0 := \sum_{l,l'=1}^N F_{ll'}(n, \Lambda) E_{ll'}, \quad \bar{F}_0 = \sum_{l,l'=1}^N \bar{F}_{ll'}(n, \Lambda) E_{ll'},$$

$$F(M, L) := \sum_{l,l'=1}^N F_{ll'}(M, L) C_{ll'}, \quad \bar{F}(\bar{M}, \bar{L}) = \sum_{l,l'=1}^N \bar{F}_{ll'}(\bar{M}, \bar{L}) \bar{C}_{ll'}$$

then

String equations. Block Toeplitz/Hankel operators

Suppose that the initial condition g satisfies

$$\boxed{g\bar{F}_0 = F_0g}, \quad F_0 := \sum_{l,l'=1}^N F_{ll'}(n, \Lambda) E_{ll'}, \quad \bar{F}_0 = \sum_{l,l'=1}^N \bar{F}_{ll'}(n, \Lambda) E_{ll'},$$

$$F(M, L) := \sum_{l,l'=1}^N F_{ll'}(M, L) C_{ll'}, \quad \bar{F}(\bar{M}, \bar{L}) = \sum_{l,l'=1}^N \bar{F}_{ll'}(\bar{M}, \bar{L}) \bar{C}_{ll'}$$

then

String equation

$$\boxed{F(M, L) = \bar{F}(\bar{M}, \bar{L})}$$

This is equivalent to invariance under an additional symmetry

For $\{\ell_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{S}} \subset \mathbb{Z}$

For $\{\ell_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{S}} \subset \mathbb{Z}$

$$g \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^N E_{kk} \Lambda^{-\ell_{\bar{k}}} \right) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N E_{kk} \Lambda^{\ell_k} \right) \cdot g \Leftrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^N C_{kk} L^{\ell_{kj}} = \sum_{k=1}^N \bar{C}_{kk} \bar{L}^{-\ell_{\bar{k}}j}$$

For $\{\ell_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{S}} \subset \mathbb{Z}$

$$g \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^N E_{kk} \Lambda^{-\ell_{\bar{k}}} \right) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N E_{kk} \Lambda^{\ell_k} \right) \cdot g \Leftrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^N C_{kk} L^{\ell_{kj}} = \sum_{k=1}^N \bar{C}_{kk} \bar{L}^{-\ell_{\bar{k}j}}$$

If $\mathcal{S}_{\pm} := \{a \in \mathcal{S} : \pm \ell_a > 0\}$, $\mathcal{S}_0 := \{a \in \mathcal{S} : \ell_a = 0\}$,
 $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\pm} := \{a \in \bar{\mathcal{S}} : \pm \ell_a > 0\}$, $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_0 := \{a \in \bar{\mathcal{S}} : \ell_a = 0\}$

For $\{\ell_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{S}} \subset \mathbb{Z}$

$$g \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^N E_{kk} \Lambda^{-\ell_{\bar{k}}} \right) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N E_{kk} \Lambda^{\ell_k} \right) \cdot g \Leftrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^N C_{kk} L^{\ell_{kj}} = \sum_{k=1}^N \bar{C}_{kk} \bar{L}^{-\ell_{\bar{k}}j}$$

$$\left(\sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}_+ \cup \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \bar{\mathcal{S}}_+} \partial_{j|\ell_a, a} \right) (L) = \left(\sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}_+ \cup \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \bar{\mathcal{S}}_+} \partial_{j|\ell_a, a} \right) (\bar{L}) = 0,$$

$$\left(\sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}_- \cup \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \bar{\mathcal{S}}_-} \partial_{j|\ell_a, a} \right) (L) = \left(\sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}_- \cup \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \bar{\mathcal{S}}_-} \partial_{j|\ell_a, a} \right) (\bar{L}) = 0,$$

When

$$\sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}} \ell_a = 0;$$

When

$$\sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}} \ell_a = 0;$$

the **Lax operators are periodic**

$$\begin{aligned} L(s_1 + \ell_1, \dots, s_N + \ell_N, s_{\bar{1}} + \ell_{\bar{1}}, \dots, s_{\bar{N}} + \ell_{\bar{N}}) &= L(s_1, \dots, s_N, s_{\bar{1}}, \dots, s_{\bar{N}}) \\ \bar{L}(s_1 + \ell_1, \dots, s_N + \ell_N, s_{\bar{1}} + \ell_{\bar{1}}, \dots, s_{\bar{N}} + \ell_{\bar{N}}) &= \bar{L}(s_1, \dots, s_N, s_{\bar{1}}, \dots, s_{\bar{N}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{If } g = \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^N g_{k_1 k_2} E_{k_1 k_2}, \quad g_{k_1 k_2} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} g_{j, k_1 k_2}(n) \Lambda^j$$

$$\text{If } g = \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^N g_{k_1 k_2} E_{k_1 k_2}, \quad g_{k_1 k_2} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} g_{j, k_1 k_2}(n) \Lambda^j$$

- ▶ For $\ell_{k_1} \ell_{\bar{k}_2} > 0$ is a $|\ell_{k_1}| \times |\ell_{\bar{k}_2}|$ -block bi-infinite Hankel matrix.

$$\text{If } g = \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^N g_{k_1 k_2} E_{k_1 k_2}, \quad g_{k_1 k_2} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} g_{j, k_1 k_2}(n) \Lambda^j$$

- ▶ For $l_{k_1} l_{\bar{k}_2} > 0$ is a $|l_{k_1}| \times |l_{\bar{k}_2}|$ -block bi-infinite Hankel matrix.
- ▶ For $l_{k_1} l_{\bar{k}_2} < 0$ is a $|l_{k_1}| \times |l_{\bar{k}_2}|$ -block bi-infinite Toeplitz matrix.

$$\text{If } g = \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^N g_{k_1 k_2} E_{k_1 k_2}, \quad g_{k_1 k_2} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} g_{j, k_1 k_2}(n) \Lambda^j$$

- ▶ For $l_{k_1} l_{\bar{k}_2} > 0$ is a $|l_{k_1}| \times |l_{\bar{k}_2}|$ -block bi-infinite Hankel matrix.
- ▶ For $l_{k_1} l_{\bar{k}_2} < 0$ is a $|l_{k_1}| \times |l_{\bar{k}_2}|$ -block bi-infinite Toeplitz matrix.
- ▶ For $l_{k_1} = 0$ with $l_{\bar{k}_2} \neq 0$ we have a diagonal band structure being $|l_{\bar{k}_2}|$ its width, and for $l_{\bar{k}_2} = 0$ with $l_{k_1} \neq 0$ a $|l_{k_1}| \times |l_{k_1}|$ block bi-infinite matrix.

If $g = \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^N g_{k_1 k_2} E_{k_1 k_2}$, $g_{k_1 k_2} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} g_{j, k_1 k_2}(n) \Lambda^j$

- ▶ For $l_{k_1} l_{\bar{k}_2} > 0$ is a $|l_{k_1}| \times |l_{\bar{k}_2}|$ -block bi-infinite Hankel matrix.
- ▶ For $l_{k_1} l_{\bar{k}_2} < 0$ is a $|l_{k_1}| \times |l_{\bar{k}_2}|$ -block bi-infinite Toeplitz matrix.
- ▶ For $l_{k_1} = 0$ with $l_{\bar{k}_2} \neq 0$ we have a diagonal band structure being $|l_{\bar{k}_2}|$ its width, and for $l_{\bar{k}_2} = 0$ with $l_{k_1} \neq 0$ a $|l_{k_1}| \times |l_{k_1}|$ block bi-infinite matrix.

Given a block matrix $\Omega = (\Omega_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ or } \mathbb{N}}$ made up with $p \times q$ -blocks $\Omega_{i,j}$ we say that Ω is a block Toeplitz matrix if $\Omega_{i+1,j+1} = \Omega_{i,j}$ and a block Hankel matrix if $\Omega_{i+1,j-1} = \Omega_{i,j}$

Baker functions

After Adler and van Moerbeke

1. For any complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\chi(z) := \{z^n \mathbb{1}_N\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

Baker functions

After Adler and van Moerbeke

1. For any complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\chi(z) := \{z^n \mathbb{1}_N\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

2. Fundamental property

$$\Lambda \chi = z \chi$$

Baker functions

After Adler and van Moerbeke

1. For any complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\chi(z) := \{z^n \mathbb{1}_N\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

2. Fundamental property

$$\Lambda \chi = z \chi$$

3. Baker functions

$$\psi = W \cdot \chi, \quad \bar{\psi} = \bar{W} \cdot \chi,$$

$$\psi = z^n \varphi(z, n) \psi_0(z),$$

$$\varphi := \mathbb{1}_N + \varphi_1(n)z^{-1} + \varphi_2(n)z^{-2} + \dots$$

$$\psi_0 := \sum_{k=1}^N E_{kk} z^{s_k} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{jk} z^j},$$

$$\bar{\psi} = z^n \bar{\varphi}(z, n) \bar{\psi}_0(z),$$

$$\bar{\varphi} := \bar{\varphi}_0(n) + \bar{\varphi}_1(n)z + \bar{\varphi}_2(n)z^2 + \dots$$

$$\bar{\psi}_0 := \sum_{k=1}^N E_{kk} z^{-s_{\bar{k}}} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{j\bar{k}} z^{-j}}$$

Given *diagonal* operators of the form

$$F := \sum_{k=1}^N F_k C_{kk},$$

$$F_k := \sum_{i \geq 0, j \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{kij} M^i L^j,$$

$$\bar{F} := \sum_{k=1}^N F_{\bar{k}} \bar{C}_{kk},$$

$$F_{\bar{k}} := \sum_{i \geq 0, j \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{\bar{k}ij} \bar{M}^i \bar{L}^j,$$

Given *diagonal* operators of the form

$$F := \sum_{k=1}^N F_k C_{kk}, \quad F_k := \sum_{i \geq 0, j \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{kij} M^i L^j,$$

$$\bar{F} := \sum_{k=1}^N \bar{F}_k \bar{C}_{kk}, \quad \bar{F}_k := \sum_{i \geq 0, j \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{F}_{kij} \bar{M}^i \bar{L}^j,$$

we can write

$$F(\psi) = (\psi) \sum_{k=1}^N \overleftarrow{F_k} \left(z \frac{d}{dz}, z \right) E_{kk} = \sum_{i \geq 0, j \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{kij} z^j \left(z \frac{d}{dz} \right)^i (\psi),$$

$$\bar{F}(\bar{\psi}) = (\bar{\psi}) \sum_{k=1}^N \overleftarrow{\bar{F}_k} \left(z \frac{d}{dz}, z \right) E_{kk} = \sum_{i \geq 0, j \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{F}_{kij} z^j \left(z \frac{d}{dz} \right)^i (\bar{\psi})$$

Charge preserving Lax and Orlov–Schulman operators

1. Two sets of charge preserving Lax and Orlov–Schulman operators, $\{\mathcal{L}_a, \mathcal{M}_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{S}}$ and $\{\bar{\mathcal{L}}_a, \bar{\mathcal{M}}_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{S}}$.

Charge preserving Lax and Orlov–Schulman operators

1. Two sets of charge preserving Lax and Orlov–Schulman operators, $\{\mathcal{L}_a, \mathcal{M}_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{S}}$ and $\{\bar{\mathcal{L}}_a, \bar{\mathcal{M}}_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{S}}$.
2. First family depends on two arbitrary given elements $l \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a' \in \mathcal{S}$, while the second set depends on two arbitrary elements $\bar{l} \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ and $a' \in \mathcal{S}$.

Charge preserving Lax and Orlov–Schulman operators

1. Two sets of charge preserving Lax and Orlov–Schulman operators, $\{\mathcal{L}_a, \mathcal{M}_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{S}}$ and $\{\bar{\mathcal{L}}_a, \bar{\mathcal{M}}_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{S}}$.
2. First family depends on two arbitrary given elements $l \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a' \in \mathcal{S}$, while the second set depends on two arbitrary elements $\bar{l} \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ and $a' \in \mathcal{S}$.
3. These sets of operators will lead to two dispersionless hierarchies, the first one is the genus 0 universal Whitham hierarchy while the second provides a multicomponent version of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy as presented by Takasaki and Takebe

Charge preserving Lax and Orlov–Schulman operators

1. Two sets of charge preserving Lax and Orlov–Schulman operators, $\{\mathcal{L}_a, \mathcal{M}_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{S}}$ and $\{\bar{\mathcal{L}}_a, \bar{\mathcal{M}}_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{S}}$.
2. First family depends on two arbitrary given elements $l \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a' \in \mathcal{S}$, while the second set depends on two arbitrary elements $\bar{l} \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ and $a' \in \mathcal{S}$.
3. These sets of operators will lead to two dispersionless hierarchies, the first one is the genus 0 universal Whitham hierarchy while the second provides a multicomponent version of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy as presented by Takasaki and Takebe

Definition

$$\mathcal{T}_a := \begin{cases} T_{(l, a')}, & a = l, \\ T_{(a, l)}, & a \neq l \end{cases} \quad \bar{\mathcal{T}}_a := \begin{cases} T_{(\bar{l}, a')}, & a = \bar{l}, \\ T_{(a, \bar{l})}, & a \neq \bar{l}, \end{cases}$$

Definition

$$\mathcal{H}_a := \begin{cases} 1 + \beta_{ll} \mathcal{T}_l^{-1} + \varphi_{2,ll} \mathcal{T}_l^{-2} + \dots, & a = l \\ \beta_{lk} + \varphi_{2,lk} \mathcal{T}_k^{-1} + \dots, & a = k \neq l, \\ \bar{\varphi}_{0,lk} + \bar{\varphi}_{1,lk} \mathcal{T}_k^{-1} + \dots, & a = \bar{k}, \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{H}}_a := \begin{cases} 1 + \beta_{ll} \bar{\mathcal{T}}_l^{-1} + \varphi_{2,ll} \bar{\mathcal{T}}_l^{-2} + \dots, & a = l, \\ \beta_{lk} + \varphi_{2,lk} \bar{\mathcal{T}}_k^{-1} + \dots, & a = k \neq l \\ \bar{\varphi}_{0,lk} + \bar{\varphi}_{1,lk} \bar{\mathcal{T}}_k^{-1} + \dots, & a = \bar{k}, \end{cases}$$

Definition

Charge preserving Sato operators

$$\mathcal{W}_a := \mathcal{K}_a \circ \mathcal{W}_{0,a}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{0,a} := \exp(\mathcal{I}_a), \quad \mathcal{I}_a := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{ja} \mathcal{T}_a^j,$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{W}}_a := \bar{\mathcal{K}}_a \circ \bar{\mathcal{W}}_{0,a}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{W}}_{0,a} := \exp(\bar{\mathcal{I}}_a), \quad \bar{\mathcal{I}}_a := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{ja} \bar{\mathcal{T}}_a^j.$$

Definition

Charge preserving Sato operators

$$\mathcal{W}_a := \mathcal{K}_a \circ \mathcal{W}_{0,a}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{0,a} := \exp(\mathcal{I}_a), \quad \mathcal{I}_a := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{ja} \mathcal{T}_a^j,$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{W}}_a := \bar{\mathcal{K}}_a \circ \bar{\mathcal{W}}_{0,a}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{W}}_{0,a} := \exp(\bar{\mathcal{I}}_a), \quad \bar{\mathcal{I}}_a := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{ja} \bar{\mathcal{T}}_a^j.$$

Charge preserving Lax operators

$$\mathcal{L}_a := \mathcal{W}_a \circ \mathcal{T}_a \circ \mathcal{W}_a^{-1}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{L}}_a := \bar{\mathcal{W}}_a \circ \bar{\mathcal{T}}_a \circ \bar{\mathcal{W}}_a^{-1}$$

Definition

Charge preserving Orlov operators

$$\mathcal{M}_a := n - n_{0,a} + \text{sg}(a) \mathcal{W}_a \circ s_a \circ \mathcal{W}_a^{-1}, \quad \text{sg}(a) := \begin{cases} 1, & a \in \mathbb{S}, \\ -1, & a \in \bar{\mathbb{S}}. \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{M}}_a := n - n_{0,a} + \text{sg}(a) \bar{\mathcal{W}}_a \circ s_a \circ \bar{\mathcal{W}}_a^{-1}, \quad n_{0,a} := \begin{cases} 1, & a \in \mathbb{S} - \{I\}, \\ 0, & a \notin \mathbb{S} - \{I\}, \end{cases}$$

Weak identities

We present some identities between the charge preserving operators and standard operators that hold in a weak sense, i.e. when we act on the l -th row of Sato operators or Baker functions

Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
 [F(\mathcal{M}_k, \mathcal{L}_k)](W_{lk})E_{lk} &= [F(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_k, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_k)](W_{lk})E_{lk} = E_{ll}F(M, L)C_{kk}W, \\
 [\bar{F}(\mathcal{M}_k^-, \mathcal{L}_k^-)](\bar{W}_{lk})E_{lk} &= [\bar{F}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_k^-, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_k^-)](\bar{W}_{lk})E_{lk} = E_{ll}\bar{F}(\bar{M}, \bar{L}^{-1})\bar{C}_{kk}\bar{W}
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
 [F(\mathcal{M}_k, \mathcal{L}_k)](W_{lk})E_{lk} &= [F(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_k, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_k)](W_{lk})E_{lk} = E_{ll}F(M, L)C_{kk}W, \\
 [\bar{F}(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{L}_{\bar{k}})](\bar{W}_{lk})E_{lk} &= [\bar{F}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{k}}, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{k}})](\bar{W}_{lk})E_{lk} = E_{ll}\bar{F}(\bar{M}, \bar{L}^{-1})\bar{C}_{kk}\bar{W}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$[F(\mathcal{M}_k, \mathcal{L}_k)](\psi_{lk}) = [F(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_k, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_k)](\psi_{lk}) = (\psi_{lk}) \overleftarrow{F}\left(z \frac{d}{dz}, z\right),$$

$$[\bar{F}(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{L}_{\bar{k}})](\bar{\psi}_{lk}) = [\bar{F}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{k}}, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{k}})](\bar{\psi}_{lk}) = (\bar{\psi}_{lk}) \overleftarrow{\bar{F}}\left(z \frac{d}{dz}, z^{-1}\right)$$

We now introduce algebras of charge preserving operators generated by charge preserving shifts operators

Definition

$$\mathfrak{t}_a := \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} c_j T_a^j \right\},$$

$$\bar{\mathfrak{t}}_a := \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} c_j \bar{T}_a^j \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
 \mathbf{t}_{a,>} := \left\{ \sum_{j>0} c_j \mathcal{T}_a^j \right\} & \mathbf{t}_{a,\leq} := \left\{ \sum_{j\leq 0} c_j \mathcal{T}_a^j \right\}, \quad a \neq l \\
 \mathbf{t}_{l,\geq} := \left\{ \sum_{j\geq 0} c_j \mathcal{T}_l^j \right\} & \mathbf{t}_{l,<} := \left\{ \sum_{j<0} c_j \mathcal{T}_l^j \right\} \\
 \bar{\mathbf{t}}_{a,>} := \left\{ \sum_{j>0} c_j (\bar{\mathcal{T}}_a^j - 1) \right\}, & \bar{\mathbf{t}}_{a,<} := \left\{ \sum_{j<0} c_j (\bar{\mathcal{T}}_a^j - 1) \right\}, \quad a \neq l, \bar{l} \\
 \bar{\mathbf{t}}_{l,\geq} := \left\{ \sum_{j\geq 0} c_j \bar{\mathcal{T}}_l^j \right\}, & \bar{\mathbf{t}}_{l,<} := \left\{ \sum_{j<0} c_j \bar{\mathcal{T}}_l^j \right\}, \\
 \bar{\mathbf{t}}_{l',>} := \left\{ \sum_{j>0} c_j (\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{l'}^j - 1) \right\} & \bar{\mathbf{t}}_{l',<} := \left\{ \sum_{j<0} c_j (\bar{\mathcal{T}}_{l'}^j - 1) \right\}, \quad a' \neq l, \\
 \bar{\mathbf{t}}_{l',>} := \left\{ \sum_{j>0} c_j \bar{\mathcal{T}}_{l'}^j \right\} & \bar{\mathbf{t}}_{l',\leq} := \left\{ \sum_{j\leq 0} c_j \bar{\mathcal{T}}_{l'}^j \right\}, \quad a' = l.
 \end{array} \right.$$

Red color in the previous slide will be denoted by +

$$\begin{cases} F(\mathcal{M}_k, \mathcal{L}_k)_+(E_{\parallel} W) = F(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_k, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_k)_+(E_{\parallel} W) = E_{\parallel}(F(M, L)C_{kk})_+ W \\ F(\mathcal{M}_k, \mathcal{L}_k)_+(E_{\parallel} \bar{W}) = F(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_k, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_k)_+(E_{\parallel} \bar{W}) = E_{\parallel}(F(M, L)C_{kk})_+ \bar{W} \end{cases}$$

Red color in the previous slide will be denoted by +

$$\begin{cases} F(\mathcal{M}_k, \mathcal{L}_k)_+(E_{\parallel} W) = F(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_k, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_k)_+(E_{\parallel} W) = E_{\parallel}(F(M, L)C_{kk})_+ W \\ F(\mathcal{M}_k, \mathcal{L}_k)_+(E_{\parallel} \bar{W}) = F(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_k, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_k)_+(E_{\parallel} \bar{W}) = E_{\parallel}(F(M, L)C_{kk})_+ \bar{W} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} F(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{L}_{\bar{k}})_+(E_{\parallel} W) = F(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{k}}, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{k}})_+(E_{\parallel} W) = E_{\parallel}(F(\bar{M}, \bar{L}^{-1})\bar{C}_{kk})_- W \\ F(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{L}_{\bar{k}})_+(E_{\parallel} \bar{W}) = F(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{k}}, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{k}})_+(E_{\parallel} \bar{W}) = E_{\parallel}(F(\bar{M}, \bar{L}^{-1})\bar{C}_{kk})_- \bar{W} \end{cases}$$

Definition

$$\mathcal{B}_{ja} := (\mathcal{L}_a^j)_+,$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{ja} := (\bar{\mathcal{L}}_a^j)_+$$

Definition

$$\mathcal{B}_{ja} := (\mathcal{L}_a^j)_+, \quad \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{ja} := (\bar{\mathcal{L}}_a^j)_+$$

Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{ja}(E_{\parallel} W) &= \mathcal{B}_{ja}(E_{\parallel} W) = \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{ja}(E_{\parallel} W), \\ \partial_{ja}(E_{\parallel} \bar{W}) &= \mathcal{B}_{ja}(E_{\parallel} \bar{W}) = \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{ja}(E_{\parallel} \bar{W}), \end{aligned}$$

If the string equation

$$\sum_{k=1}^N F_k(M, L) C_{kk} = \sum_{k=1}^N F_{\bar{k}}(\bar{M}, \bar{L}^{-1}) \bar{C}_{kk}$$

holds, then

If the string equation

$$\sum_{k=1}^N F_k(M, L) C_{kk} = \sum_{k=1}^N F_{\bar{k}}(\bar{M}, \bar{L}^{-1}) \bar{C}_{kk}$$

holds, then

$$F_k(\mathcal{M}_k, \mathcal{L}_k)(\psi_{|k}) = \left(\sum_{a' \in \mathcal{S}} F_{a'}(\mathcal{M}_{a'}, \mathcal{L}_{a'})_+ \right) (\psi_{|k})$$

$$F_k(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_k, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_k)(\psi_{|k}) = \left(\sum_{a' \in \mathcal{S}} F_{a'}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{a'}, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{a'})_+ \right) (\psi_{|k}),$$

$$F_{\bar{k}}(\mathcal{M}_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{L}_{\bar{k}})(\bar{\psi}_{|k}) = \left(\sum_{a' \in \mathcal{S}} F_{a'}(\mathcal{M}_{a'}, \mathcal{L}_{a'})_+ \right) (\bar{\psi}_{|k}),$$

$$F_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{k}}, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{k}})(\bar{\psi}_{|k}) = \left(\sum_{a' \in \mathcal{S}} F_{a'}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{a'}, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{a'})_+ \right) (\bar{\psi}_{|k}),$$

Dispersionless limit

$$\partial_{j_a}(E_{||}\psi) = \mathcal{B}_{j_a}(E_{||}\psi), \quad \partial_{j_a}(E_{||}\bar{\psi}) = \mathcal{B}_{j_a}(E_{||}\bar{\psi}) \quad a \in \mathcal{S}, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Dispersionless limit

$$\partial_{ja}(E_{ll}\psi) = \mathcal{B}_{ja}(E_{ll}\psi), \quad \partial_{ja}(E_{ll}\bar{\psi}) = \mathcal{B}_{ja}(E_{ll}\bar{\psi}) \quad a \in \mathcal{S}, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Slow variables

$$t_{sl,ja} = \epsilon t_{ja},$$

$$s_{sl,a} = \epsilon s_a,$$

$$n_{sl} = \epsilon n$$

Dispersionless limit

$$\partial_{j_a}(E_{ll}\psi) = \mathcal{B}_{j_a}(E_{ll}\psi), \quad \partial_{j_a}(E_{ll}\bar{\psi}) = \mathcal{B}_{j_a}(E_{ll}\bar{\psi}) \quad a \in \mathcal{S}, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Slow variables

$$t_{sl,j_a} = \epsilon t_{j_a}, \quad S_{sl,a} = \epsilon S_a, \quad n_{sl} = \epsilon n$$

$$\psi_a = \exp\left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_a}{\epsilon}\right), \quad \mathcal{I}_a = \mathcal{I}_{a,0} + \epsilon \mathcal{I}_{a,1} + \dots$$

New variables

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{b,a} &:= s_{sl,a}, & a \neq b, & & s_{sl,a} = \sigma_a, & & a \neq b, \\ \sigma_{b,b} &:= \sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}} s_{sl,a}, & & & s_{sl,b} = \sigma_b - \sum_{a \neq b} \sigma_a, & & \end{aligned}$$

and observe that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{b,a}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{sl,a}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{sl,b}}, \quad a \neq b.$$

$$\partial_a := \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{l,a}}, & a \neq l, \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{l,a'}}, & a = l, \end{cases}, \quad \bar{\partial}_a := \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{\bar{l},a}}, & a \neq \bar{l}, \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{\bar{l},a'}}, & a = \bar{l}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

In the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have that

$$\mathcal{T}_a^j(\exp(\mathcal{S}_b/\epsilon)) = \exp(j\partial_a(\mathcal{S}_{b,0}) + O(\epsilon)) \exp(\mathcal{S}_b/\epsilon)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{T}}_a^j(\exp(\mathcal{S}_b/\epsilon)) = \exp(j\bar{\partial}_a(\mathcal{S}_{b,0}) + O(\epsilon)) \exp(\mathcal{S}_b/\epsilon)$$

$$\partial_{j_a}(\exp(\mathcal{S}_b/\epsilon)) = (\partial_{sl_{j_a}}(\mathcal{S}_{b,0}) + O(\epsilon)) \exp(\mathcal{S}_b/\epsilon).$$

Dispersionless limits of the shift operators $\mathcal{B}_{ja}, \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{ja}$

$$\mathcal{P}_{jl} = Y^j + \mathcal{B}_{jl,j-1|0} Y^{j-1} + \cdots + \mathcal{B}_{jl,0|0}, \quad a \neq l$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{ja} = \mathcal{B}_{ja,j|0} Y^j + \cdots + \mathcal{B}_{ja,1|0} Y$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{j\bar{l}} = \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{j\bar{l},j|0} Y^j + \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{j\bar{l},j-1|0} Y^{j-1} + \cdots + \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{j\bar{l},1|0} Y - (1 - \delta_{l\bar{a}}) \sum_{i=1}^j \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{j\bar{l},i|0}$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ja} = \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{ja,j|0} Y^j + \cdots + \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{ja,1|0} Y - (1 - \delta_{a\bar{l}}) \sum_{i=1}^j \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{ja,i|0}, \quad a \neq \bar{l}$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_{ja,i|0} := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{B}_{ja,i},$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{ja,i|0} := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{ja,i}$$

Hamilton–Jacobi equations

Two families of Hamilton–Jacobi type equations in the dispersionless limit

$$\partial_{\text{sl},ja}(\mathcal{S}_{b,0}) = \mathcal{P}_{ja}(e^{\partial_a \mathcal{S}_{b,0}}),$$

Whitham type

$$\partial_{\text{sl},ja}(\mathcal{S}_{b,0}) = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ja}(e^{\partial_a \mathcal{S}_{b,0}})$$

dToda type

Let us consider the equation

$$\partial_x(\mathcal{S}_{b,0}) = \exp(\partial_l \mathcal{S}_{b,0}) + q_{a'} = \exp(-\partial_{a'} \mathcal{S}_{b,0}) + q_{a'}, \quad q_{a'} := \partial_{a'}(\beta_{||-1}).$$

Inserting the substitution $a' \rightsquigarrow a$ we get

$$\partial_a(\mathcal{S}_{b,0}) = -\log(\partial_x(\mathcal{S}_{b,0}) - q_a) \quad a \neq l.$$

Definition

Let us introduce the dispersionless Lax function $z_a = z_a(\mathbf{s}_{sl}, \mathbf{t}_{sl})$ by the implicit relations

$$p = \partial_x \mathcal{S}_{a,0}(z_a)$$

and the dispersionless Orlov–Schulman function by

$$m_a := \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{a,0}}{\partial z} \right|_{z=z_a}$$

Therefore for $a \neq l$ we have

$$(\partial_a(\mathcal{S}_{b,0})) \Big|_{z=z_b} = -\log(p - q_a), \quad a \neq l$$

$$(\partial_{sl,ja}(\mathcal{S}_{b,0})) \Big|_{z=z_b} = \mathcal{P}_{ja} \left(\frac{1}{p - q_a} \right) =: \Omega_{ja}, \quad a \neq l$$

$$(\partial_{sl,jl}(\mathcal{S}_{b,0})) \Big|_{z=z_b} = \mathcal{P}_{jl}(p - q_{a'}) =: \Omega_{jl}, \quad j > 1$$

Therefore for $a \neq l$ we have

$$(\partial_a(\mathcal{S}_{b,0})) \Big|_{z=z_b} = -\log(p - q_a), \quad a \neq l$$

$$(\partial_{sl,ja}(\mathcal{S}_{b,0})) \Big|_{z=z_b} = \mathcal{P}_{ja} \left(\frac{1}{p - q_a} \right) =: \Omega_{ja}, \quad a \neq l$$

$$(\partial_{sl,jl}(\mathcal{S}_{b,0})) \Big|_{z=z_b} = \mathcal{P}_{jl}(p - q_{a'}) =: \Omega_{jl}, \quad j > 1$$

Given two functions $f = f(p, x)$ and $g = g(p, x)$ of p and x we define the standard Poisson bracket

$$\{f, g\}_0 := \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial p} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$$

As shows the following Theorem the functions (z_b, m_b) satisfy the universal genus 0 Whitham hierarchy

Theorem

The functions (z_b, m_b) verify

$$\{z_b, m_b\}_0 = 1,$$

and the evolution equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_a z_b &= \{-\log(p - q_a), z_b\}_0, & \partial_a m_b &= \{-\log(p - q_a), m_b\}_0, & a \neq b, \\ \partial_{\text{sl},ja} z_b &= \{\Omega_{ja}, z_b\}_0, & \partial_{\text{sl},ja} m_b &= \{\Omega_{ja}, m_b\}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Introduce a variable X such that $\partial_X := \partial_l = -\partial_{\bar{l}}$, it comes from a discrete s type variable while x comes from a continuous t variable

Introduce a variable X such that $\partial_X := \partial_l = -\partial_{\bar{l}}$, it comes from a discrete s type variable while x comes from a continuous t variable

Definition

The dispersionless Lax function \bar{z}_a is defined by the implicit relation

$$\partial_X \mathcal{S}_{a,0} \Big|_{z=\bar{z}_a} = \log P,$$

while the dispersionless Orlov–Schulman operator is defined by

$$\bar{m}_a := \bar{z}_a \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{a,0}}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=\bar{z}_a}.$$

multicomponent dispersionless 2D Toda for \mathcal{S}

$$\partial_X(\mathcal{S}_{b,0}) \Big|_{z=\bar{z}_b} = \log P,$$

$$\partial_a(\mathcal{S}_{b,0}) \Big|_{z=\bar{z}_b} = \log P_a,$$

$$P_a := \frac{1}{1 + \rho_a P^{-1}} \quad a \neq l, \bar{l},$$

$$(\partial_{\text{sl},j\bar{a}}(\mathcal{S}_{b,0})) \Big|_{z=\bar{z}_b} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{j\bar{a}}(P_a) =: \bar{\Omega}_{j\bar{a}}, \quad a \neq l, \bar{l},$$

$$\partial_{\text{sl},j\bar{l}}(\mathcal{S}_{b,0}) \Big|_{z=\bar{z}_b} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{j\bar{l}}(P^{-1}) =: \bar{\Omega}_{j\bar{l}},$$

$$(\partial_{\text{sl},jl}(\mathcal{S}_{b,0})) \Big|_{z=\bar{z}_b} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{jl}(P) =: \bar{\Omega}_{jl}, \quad j > 1.$$

Given two functions $f = f(p, x)$ and $g = g(p, x)$ of p and x we define the modified Poisson bracket

$$\{f, g\}_1 := p \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - p \frac{\partial g}{\partial p} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}.$$

Next we show that this dispersionless limit represents a multicomponent generalization of the standard dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy. This later corresponds to the flows associated with $t_{sl,jl}, t_{sl,j\bar{l}}, j = 1, 2, \dots$.

Next we show that this dispersionless limit represents a multicomponent generalization of the standard dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy. This later corresponds to the flows associated with $t_{sl,jl}, t_{sl,j\bar{l}}, j = 1, 2, \dots$.

Theorem

The relation

$$\{\bar{z}_b, \bar{m}_b\}_1 = \bar{z}_b$$

and the following evolution equations are verified

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_a \bar{z}_b &= \{\log(P_a), \bar{z}_b\}_1, & \partial_a \bar{m}_b &= \{\log(P_a), \bar{m}_b\}_1, & a \neq l, \bar{l} \\ \partial_{ja} \bar{z}_b &= \{\bar{\Omega}_{ja}, \bar{z}_b\}_1, & \partial_{ja} \bar{m}_b &= \{\bar{\Omega}_{ja}, \bar{m}_b\}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

If the string equations

$$\sum_{k=1}^N F_k(M, L) C_{kk} = \sum_{k=1}^N \bar{F}_k(\bar{M}, \bar{L}^{-1}) \bar{C}_{kk}$$

hold, their corresponding dispersionless limits

$$F_{a,0}(z_a, m_a) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{S}} F_{b,0+}, \quad \bar{F}_{a,0}(\bar{z}_a, \bar{m}_a) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{S}} \bar{F}_{b,0+}, \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{S}$$

are satisfied